



## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.                              | FILING DATE     | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.     | CONFIRMATION NO. |  |
|--|-----------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/084,176                                   | 02/28/2002      | Matthew Plan         | P21784                  | P21784 4794      |  |
| 7055 7                                       | 7590 12/02/2004 |                      | EXAM                    | INER .           |  |
| GREENBLUM & BERNSTEIN, P.L.C.                |                 |                      | GAUTHIER, GERALD        |                  |  |
| 1950 ROLAND CLARKE PLACE<br>RESTON, VA 20191 |                 |                      | ART UNIT                | PAPER NUMBER     |  |
| ,  |                 |                      | 2645                    |                  |  |
|  |                 |                      | DATE MAILED: 12/02/2004 |                  |  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|  | Application No.  | Applicant(s)  |              |
|--|--|---|--------------|
| Advisory Action  | 10/084,176   | PLAN, MATTHEW   |              |
| Advisory Aution  | Examiner   | Art Unit  |              |
|  | Gerald Gauthier  | 2645  |              |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe  | ears on the cover sheet with the c   | correspondence address  |              |
| THE REPLY FILED 19 October 2004 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to average final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.  | oid abandonment of this application abandonment of this application abandment which  | ation. A proper reply to a  |              |
| PERIOD FOR RE  | EPLY [check either a) or b)]   |   |              |
| a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offic timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C | Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing in FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF The date on which the petition under 37 CF of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply ce later than three months after the mail | g date of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP R 1.136(a) and the appropriate extens unt of the fee. The appropriate extens originally set in the final Office action; | sion<br>sion |
| 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF   |  |   |              |
| 2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be  | ecause:  |   |              |
| (a) X they raise new issues that would require further   | er consideration and/or search (s  | see NOTE below):  |              |
| (b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b   | ·  | ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,   |              |
| (c) they are not deemed to place the application in  | ,·   | rially reducing or simplifying th   | ne           |
| issues for appeal; and/or  |  | , , , , ,   |              |
| (d) they present additional claims without canceli   | ng a corresponding number of fi  | nally rejected claims.  |              |
| NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .  |  |   |              |
| 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject   | tion(s):   |   |              |
| 4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).  | be allowable if submitted in a se  | eparate, timely filed amendmer  | ıt           |
| 5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because:   | reconsideration has been consi   | dered but does NOT place the  |              |
| 6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.  | ause it is not directed SOLELY t   | o issues which were newly   |              |
| 7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we  |  |   |              |
| The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:   |  |   |              |
| Claim(s) allowed:  |  |   |              |
| Claim(s) objected to:  |  |   |              |
| Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-26</u> .   |  |   |              |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:   |  |   |              |
| 8. The drawing correction filed on is a) applied applied on is a)  | roved or b)□ disapproved by t  | he Examiner.  |              |
| 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemer   | nt(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)   | Jan h   |              |
| 10. Other:   | SUF  | FAN TSANG<br>PERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER<br>FECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600  |              |
|  |  |   |              |

Continuation of 2. NOTE: Claims 7.16 and 20 are amended and raise new issues that would require further consideration such as "a plurality of preprogrammed feature specific types".